1	Nottingham Planning Board
2	September 25, 2013
3	•
4	Members Present: Arthur Stockus: Chair, Troy Osgood; Vice Chair, Susan Mooney;
5	Secretary, Dirk Grotenhuis, Eduard Viel, Gary Anderson; Alternate, and Hal Rafter BOS
6	Representative
7	
8	Members Absent: Robert "Buzz" Davies; Alternate, John Morin
9	
10	Others Present: Paul Colby; Building Inspector/Code Administrator, Christian Smith;
11	Engineer- Beals Associates, Joseph Falzone; Applicant- Harbor Street Limited, Scott
12	Grove, Patty O'Brien, Sam Demeritt; Conservation Committee Chair, JoAnna
13	Arendarczyk; Land Use Clerk
14	
15	Chair Arthur Stockus called the meeting to order at 7:00
16	Introductions were completed at 7:01
17	Mr. Anderson Seated for Mr. Morin
18	
19	PUBLIC MEETINGS/ HEARINGS:
20	Case #P13-02-SUB – Maple Ridge – 154 acre parcel which fronts both Friar Tuck Lane and
21	Oakridge Road – Map 10 Lot 10, Map 8 Lots 8-21, Map 8 & 10 Lots 9 & 10 - Application for a
22 23	proposed open space 42 lot subdivision. Property is owned by Harbor Street Limited Partnership, Brian M. & Jennifer Spagna, Seth F. & Pearl I. Peters. Continuance Hearing
23 24	Chair opens the continuation.
2 4 25	Introduction from Mr. Christian Smith with Beals Associates representing the applicant
25 26	and Mr. Joe Falzone from Harbor Street Limited Partnership.
27	Mr. Smith reviewed the three outstanding items from CMA One: 3% grade vs. 5% grade
28	proposed at the intersection. Are the cul-de-sacs considered major streets? We assume
29	they are minor streets if so conditions need not apply.
30	Two: The intersection with Oak Ridge contains an angle less than ninety degrees.
31	However it is on the side of a cul-de-sac. Traffic making this turning motion is not likely
32	therefore the board could reasonably consider this to be complying.
33	Three: The radius of the intersection with Oak Ridge Road is less than twenty five
34	degrees, however it is on the side of the cul-de-sac and traffic making this turning motion
35	is not likely and the board could reasonably consider this to be complying.
36	Mr. Colby spoke about his assessments on the outstanding items and agrees with CMA's
37	review.
38	Mrs. Mooney comments on the cut and topography.
39	Mr. Smith and Mr. Colby agree with the comment.
40	Mr. Smith states that they are trying to minimize that cut impact.
41	Mr. Colby mentions the day they dug test pits they discussed this impact.
42	Chair: request a motion based on the three items and Mr. Colby's suggestions.
43	Motion: Made by Ms. Mooney to accept the three recommendations: The grades at the
44	intersections of Oak Ridge and Friar Tuck are acceptable at 5%. The angle at Oak Ridge
45	at less than ninety degrees is acceptable and the turning radius at Oak Ridge being at less
46	than twenty five degrees would be adequate.
17	Second: Made by Mr. Osgood

- 48 **Vote:** 7-0 motion passed
- 49 Mr. Grotenhuis reviewed traffic report and it appears to be within reason. There would
- be a heavy volume based on homes in the location. Forty-three homes would add to the
- 51 existing conditions. Mr. Grotenhuis offered some recommendations for adjacent
- 52 intersections which he thinks should be included in the project. For example some
- signage and stop controls at specific intersections.
- Mr. Smith stated that they were planning on this as part of the construction.
- Mr. Grotenhuis continued with the list of intersections for this recommendation: Mitchell
- Road and Case, Mitchell and Friar Tuck, Mill Pond and Case, Case and Oak Ridge the
- 57 Traffic study recommended stop signs and stop bars.
- 58 Mr. Chairman asked Mr. Smith if this is in the plan.
- 59 Mr. Smith said yes it is.
- Mrs. Mooney asked if there is an impact prediction on Route 125 coming out on Mitchell
- Road during commuting times.
- Mr. Grotenhuis states the professional opinions and that he predicts possible higher
- percentages but also predicts that people will likely find a way around if delays occur.
- Mrs. Mooney agrees.
- 65 Mr. Chairman asks Mr. Colby if all the CMA concerns are taken care of.
- 66 Mr. Colby: Affirmative
- 67 Mr. Chairman asked Mr. Colby to present the rest of the letters in the binders.
- 68 Mr. Colby discusses the letters and memos in the binders. The only outstanding items:
- 69 State Subdivision approval and the Alteration of Terrain Approval.
- 70 Mr. Chairman asked if there are any questions on the Plans from Board.
- 71 Mr. Colby mentioned the applicant and Fire Chief correspondence e-mails that were
- omitted from the binders per staff error. The Fire Chief approved Cistern issue at the
- applicant's request.
- 74 Mr. Chairman requested a motion on the project.
- 75 Mrs. Mooney requested clarification as to what the next motion should be.
- Mr. Colby stated that the staff recommends that the project be approved with the three
- 77 conditions.
- 78 Mr. Chairman stated or to disapprove.
- 79 Mrs. Mooney asked at what point the architecture details of the through road could be
- 80 discussed.
- Mr. Chairman stated that could be discussed as one of the conditions of approval.
- 82 Mrs. Mooney verified with Mr. Grotenhuis that this is the time to discuss the
- 83 Conservation Committee's suggestion.
- 84 Mr. Grotenhuis agreed.
- Mrs. Mooney addressed Mr. Smith stating that the Conservation Committee has concerns
- 86 with the architecture of the road being tarred and a through road. The thought is to have
- 87 the middle section of the through road be dirt for emergency access only and be gated or
- 88 have signage and therefore keep noise down and the salt down to lessen the impact on the
- 89 environment. This may also regulate the traffic on either side of the subdivision. This
- 90 would also maximize the advantage of the application to NRCS if it was dirt, for
- 91 emergency use only and built to fire specs.
- 92 Mrs. Mooney mentioned her research on gated ways in Durham and Madbury about
- gated ways and there aren't any for access to residential areas in those communities, only

- 94 for park, maintenance or schools. The Conservation Committee is putting this idea out
- 95 for consideration to have as the subdivision is a partially dirt and possibly gated way with
- 96 limited access.
- 97 Mr. Chairman verifies that this is conditional with not developing specific lots.
- 98 Mrs. Mooney states that yes that is the condition. She goes on to state that if NRCS is
- 99 not able to fund the project as a conservation project then the condition would be moot.
- 100 Mr. Falzone asks the rest of the Planning Board for their opinions on this suggestion.
- Mr. Grotenhuis states that right now there are a requirement to have a thru road. Town
- specification requires it to be a paved road but in this condition where there is
- environmental conditions, or mitigations that could allow a gravel road with limited
- access to thru traffic unless there is an emergency.
- 105 Mr. Falzone states that the gravel would need to be built up to allow for plowing.
- 106 Mr. Smith states that the plan is possible provided it is travelable by fire truck because of
- the location the Fire Chief wants the cisterns.
- Mr. Colby stated that the Fire Chief wants the road open to allow for the rescue vehicles
- immediate access when necessary in an emergency.
- 110 Mrs. Mooney listed off several types of gates that could be used.
- Mr. Colby states that the Fire department doesn't deal with codes and keys per policy.
- And the town prefers no barriers when plowing.
- 113 Mr. Colby recommends that the Board have the applicant submit a waiver stating the
- linear feet on the section of road that would not be paved.
- 115 Mr. Chairman states that this would be a conditional waiver based on not developing the
- 116 middle lots.
- 117 Mr. Colby agreed.
- 118 Mr. Falzone stated that he needs to be allowed to build one phase at a time.
- 119 Mr. Chairman asks if anyone else has questions or comments on the process.
- 120 Mr. Anderson asked how the section of dirt is going to affect the maintenance.
- Mrs. Mooney states that it would be like the other Scenic roads in town. For example
- Poor Farm Road. She also points out that the unpaved section would be great for
- recreation in town without worrying about traffic.
- 124 Mr. Falzone spoke of the gates again.
- Mr. Chairman stated that the Fire Chief does not approve of gates.
- Mr. Falzone states that he is willing to give it a try if the abutters are on board.
- Mr. Viel suggested a movable gate so it is not an issue during the winter months. There
- still may be an issue with the Fire Departments responding.
- Mr. Colby clarifies how the Fire Departments response system is. It is not always our
- town responding depending on the location of the fire.
- 131 Mr. Viel comments that if it is a movable gate it may be possible.
- 132 Mr. Colby states that then there are liability issues if an injury occurs due to the gate. If
- we eliminate gates then there is no liability issues.
- Mr. Osgood mentioned there is possible valuable time lost if the gate prevents the fire
- department from getting to the emergency in a timely manner.
- 136 Mrs. Mooney suggest signage "No Thru Traffic"
- 137 Mr. Chairman and Mr. Colby both agreed.
- 138 Mr. Rafter then suggested a speed bump at the end as well.
- 139 Mrs. Mooney added the idea of speed tables.

- 140 Mr. Chairman commented that plows may get hung up on a speed bump or speed table.
- 141 Mr. Rafter commented on the funding from NRCS likely taking some time.
- 142 Mr. Falzone agreed and spoke of start and stop issues with funding.
- Mr. Viel comments that if they do get the funding and trails get developed in the area
- than people may park and use the area.
- 145 **Motion:** Mooney moves to approve application with condition no tar through road
- 146 No Second: Motion Fails
- 147 Colby interrupts with a waiver on delaying the hot topping of Lots 12-19 29-35
- 148 **Motion:** Made by Mr. Grotenhuis to approve the waiver for Phase 3 for delaying the
- paving and that the road is to be used for Emergency use only.
- 150 **Second:** Made by Mr. Osgood
- Mr. Anderson comments that the waiver establishes a precedence for future applicants.
- Ms. Mooney states that she feels it is a good precedent because it is a compromise.
- 153 **Vote:** 7-0 motion passes
- 154 **Motion:** Mrs. Mooney made a motion to approve the application with the following
- 155 conditions: The boundary markers set and certification submitted, the subdivision
- approval by the State and the DES permit for Alteration of Terrain
- 157 **Second:** Made by Mr. Grotenhuis
- 158 **Vote:** 7-0 motion passed
- 159
- 160 Mr. Chairman addressed the CMA Invoice #1 for \$1,546.93.
- 161 Mr. Colby stated that the money is available to pay this.
- 162 **Motion:** Made by Mr. Osgood to accept the Invoice as read.
- 163 **Second:** Made by Mr. Viel
- 164 **Vote:** 7-0 motion passed
- 165
- Mr. Chairman addressed the Ledge Farm Farwell Invoice #829 for \$2018.20.
- Mr. Colby stated that the money is available to pay this.
- 168 **Motion:** Made by Mr. Anderson to accept the Invoice as read.
- 169 **Second:** Made by Mr. Osgood
- 170 **Vote:** 7-0 motion passed
- 171 Mr. Colby stated that the cistern is all that is left to be inspected at this site.
- 172
- Mr. Chairman addressed the School Board letter regarding the proposed Bulk Propane
- 174 Facility- the letter is for the Board's information on the School Board's stand on the
- issue.
- 176
- 177 **Budget for 2014:** Mr. Chairman opened a discussion with the Board on the Budget for
- 178 the 2014 year, due on October 7, 2013.
- 179 **Motion:** Made by Mr. Grotenhuis after line item discussion, to approve the proposed
- 180 2014 Budget of \$27,830.00 netting in a reduction of \$1,410.00
- 181 **Second:** by Mr. Viel
- 182 **Vote:** 7-0 motion passed
- 183
- 184 **Future Meeting Schedule Update:** Mr. Colby states that the next meeting is scheduled
- for October 9, 2013 with Jack Mettee. Invites for the workshop have gone out via e-mail.

186 Mr. Colby stated that there are no open cases at this time for October 23, 2013. 187 188 **Board of Selectman Update:** Mr. Rafter gave an update on the bridge going into the 189 Town Sandpit off of Smoke Street. He also updated the Board on the findings for the possible renovation on the back part of the Municipal building the current cost could be 190 191 as high as \$250,000- \$300,000 for structural renovations. Mr. Rafter also spoke to the 192 Board about a Bill going into Legislature that would affect future large ground water 193 permits. 194 195 Minutes- September 11, 2013: 196 Motion: Made by Mrs. Mooney to accept the minutes for the September 11, 2013 197 Planning Board Meeting as amended. 198 Second: Made by Mr. Grotenhuis 199 Vote: 6-0-1 motion passed 200 201 Mr. Chairman mentions the Cardillo Plans to approve and sign before leaving. 202 203 **Motion:** Made by Mr. Viel to adjourn the meeting. 204 **Second**: Made by Mr. Osgood. 205 **Vote:** 7-0 motion passed 206 Adjourn at 8:05PM 207 Respectfully Submitted,

208

JoAnna Arendarczyk